Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,844	ROGERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Philip Lane</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 44-47		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Mr. Lane agreed to amend the claims to correct the dependency Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	y.	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
//Cephia D. Toomer// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)